Central files

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION For Authorized Company Use Only
EXON RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY

P.O. BOX 101, FLORHAM PARK, NEW JERSEY 07932

EXXON ENGINEERING PETROLEUM DEPARTMENT
Planning Engineering Division

R. L. MASTRACCHIO Manager L. E. Hill Senior Eng. Assoc. Cable: ENGREXXON, N.Y.

October 16, 1979

Controlling Atmospheric $CO₂$

79PE 554

Dr. R. L. Hirsch:

The attached memorandum presents the results of a study on the potential impact of fossil fuel combustion on the $CO₂$ concentration in the atmosphere. This study was made by Steve Knisely, a summer employee in Planning Engineering Division.

The study considers the changes in future energy sources which would be necessary to control the atmospheric CO_2 concentration at differ-
ent levels. The principle assumption for the CO_2^2 balance is that 50% of
the CO_2 generated by fossil fuels remains in the atmosphere. This to the recent data on the increasing $CO₂$ concentration in the atmosphere compared to the quantity of fossil fuel combusted.

Present climatic models predict that the present trend of fossil fuel use will lead to dramatic climatic changes within the next 75 years. However, it is not obvious whether these changes would be all bad or all good. The major conclusion from this report is that, should it be deemed necessary to maintain atmospheric CO₂ levels to prevent significant climatic changes, dramatic changes in patterns of energy use would be required. World fossil fuel resources other than oil and gas could never be used to an appreciable extent.

No practical means of recovering and disposing of $CO₂$ emissions has yet been developed and the above conclusion assumes that recovery will not be feasible.

It must be realized that there is great uncertainty in the existing climatic models because of a poor understanding of the atmospheric/ terrestrial/oceanic $CO₂$ balance. Much more study and research in this area is required before major changes in energy type usage could be recommended.

Tra ferrar

WLF:ceg Attachment c: J. F. Black

 $\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix}$

- J. W. Herrmann
- L. E. Hill
- E. D. Hooper
- F. J. Kaiser
- R. L. Mastracchio
- W. H. Mueller
- H. Shaw
- G. O. Wilhelm

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

For Authorized Company Use Only

Engineering

Exxon

Petroleum Department -

CONTROLLING THE CO₂ CONCENTRATION IN THE ATMOSPHERE

The $CO₂$ concentration in the atmosphere has increased since the beginning of the world industrialization. It is now 15% greater than it was in 1850 and the rate of CO₂ release from anthropogenic sources appears to be doubling every 15 years. The most widely held theory is that:

- The increase is due to fossil fuel combustion
- Increasing CO₂ concentration will cause a warming of the earth's surface
- . The present trend of fossil fuel consumption will cause dramatic environmental effects before the year 2050.

However, the quantitative effect is very speculative because the data base supporting it is weak. The CO₂ balance between the atmosphere, the biosphere and the oceans is very ill-defined. Also, the overall effect of increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration on the world environment is not well understood. Finally, the relative effect of other impacts on the earth's climate, such as solar activity, volcanic action, etc. may be as great as that of CO₂.

Nevertheless, recognizing the uncertainty, there is a possibility that an atmospheric CO₂ buildup will cause adverse environmental effects in enough areas of the world to consider limiting the future use of fossil fuels as major energy sources. This report illustrates the possible future limits on fossil fuel use by examining different energy scenarios with varying rates of CO₂ emissions. Comparison of the different energy scenarios show the magnitude of the switch from fossil fuels to non-fossil fuels that might be necessary in the future. Non-fossil fuels include fission/fusion, geothermal, biomass, hydroelectric and solar power. The possible environmental changes associated with each scenario are also discussed.

CONCLUSIONS

As stated previously, predictions of the precise consequences of uncontrolled fossil fuel use cannot be made due to all of the uncertainties associated with the future energy demand and the global CO₂ balance. On the basis that CO₂ emissions must be controlled, this study examined the possible future fuel consumptions to achieve various degrees of control. Following are some observations and the principle conclusions from the study:

• The present trends of fossil fuel combustion with a coal emphasis will lead to dramatic world climate changes within the next 75 years, according to many present climatic models.

- o The CO₂ buildup in the atmosphere is a worldwide problem. U.S. efforts to restrict CO₂ emission would delay for a short time but not solve the problem.
- Warming trends which would move the temperate climate northward may be beneficial for some nations (i.e., the USSR, see Figure 1) and detrimental for others. Therefore, global cooperation may be difficult to achieve.
- Removal of CO₂ from flue gases does not appear practical due to economics and lack of reasonable disposal methods.
- \bullet If it becomes necessary to limit future $CO₂$ emissions without practical removal/disposal methods, coal and possibly other fossil fuel resources could not be utilized to an appreciable extent.
- . Even with dramatic changes in current energy resource use, it appears unlikely that an increase of 50% over the pre-industrial CO₂ level can be avoided in the next century. This would be likely to cause a slight increase in global temperatures but not a significant change in climate, ocean water level or other serious environmental efforts.

The potential problem is great and urgent. Too little is known at this time to recommend a major U.S. or worldwide change in energy type usage but it is very clear that immediate research is necessary to better model the atmosphere/terrestrial/oceanic CO₂ balance. Only with a better understanding of the balance will we know if a problem truly exists.

Existing Data and Present Models

Since the beginning of industrialization, the atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration has increased from approximately 290 ppm in 1860 to 336 ppm today. Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have been recorded on a monthly basis by C. D. Keeling since 1958 at Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii (see Figure 2). Seasonal variations are clearly shown with the $CO₂$ concentrations lowest during the North American and Eurasian summers, due to increased photosynthetic activities. Over the last ten years, the atmospheric concentration has been increasing at an average rate of about 1.2 ppm/year.

The present consumption of fossil fuels releases more than 5 billion tons of carbon as $CO₂$ into the atmosphere each year. Data to date indicate that of the amount released approximately one-half is absorbed by the oceans. The other half remains in the atmosphere. There is some question as to whether the terrestrial biosphere is a sink, absorbing atmospheric CO₂, or a source of CO₂ emissions, due to man's land clearing activities. Current opinion attributes the atmospheric $CO₂$ increase to fossil fuels and considers the biosphere input to be negligible.

 $c1798$

Figure 3 shows the carbon cycle with the ocean and the biosphere as sinks for approximately 50% of the fossil fuel emissions. Most models show the ocean to be a major sink while the biosphere appears to be a much smaller sink if it absorbs any CO₂ at all. It is clear from Figure 3 that the net atmospheric increase in CO₂ is quite small compared to the quantities of CO₂ exchanged between the atmosphere and the earth. This makes it very difficult to analyze the fossil fuel impact on the overall carbon cycle.

The fossil fuel resource is very large compared to the quantity of carbon in the atmosphere. Therefore, if one half of the CO₂ released by combustion of fossil fuels remains in the atmosphere, only about 20% of the recoverable fossil fuel could be used before doubling the atmospheric $CO₂$ content.

The concern over the increasing CO₂ levels arises because of the radiative properties of the gas in the atmosphere. CO₂ does not affect the incoming short-wave (solar) radiation to the earth but it does absorb long-wave energy reradiated from the earth. The absorption of long-wave energy by CO₂ leads to a warming of the atmosphere. This warming phenomenom is known as the "greenhouse effect."

A vast amount of speculation has been made on how increased CO2 levels will affect atmospheric temperatures. Many models today predict that doubling the 1860 atmospheric CO₂ concentration will cause a 1º to 5ºC global temperature increase (see Figure 4). Extrapolation of present fossil fuel trends would predict this doubling of the CO₂ concentration to occur about 2050. A temperature difference of 5°C is equal to the difference between a glacial and an interglacial period. The temperature increases will also tend to vary with location being much higher in the polar region (see Figure 5). These temperature predictions may turn out too high or low by several fold as a result of many feedback mechanisms that may arise due to increased temperatures and have not been properly accounted for in present models.

These mechanisms include:

- A decrease in average snow and ice coverage. This is a positive feedback mechanism since it would result in a decrease of the earth's albedo (reflectivity) which would produce an added warming effect.
- Cloud Cover. This is considered the most important feedback mechanism not accounted for in present models. A change of a few percent in cloud cover could cause larger temperature changes than those caused by CO₂. Increased atmospheric temperature could cause increased evaporation from the oceans and increased cloud cover.
- Ocean and Biosphere Responses. As the CO₂ level is increased and the ambient temperature rises, the ocean may lose some of its capacity to absorb CO₂ resulting in a positive feedback. However, increased CO₂ levels could increase photosynthetic activities which would then be a negative feedback mechanism.

As evidenced by the balance shown in Figure 3, the atmospheric carbon exchange with the terrestrial biosphere and the oceans is so large that small changes due to these feedback mechanisms could drastically offset or add to the impact of fossil fuel combustion on the earth's temperature.

Appendix A gives one, but not unanimous, viewpoint of how the environment might change if the feedback mechanisms are ignored. The contribution that will ultimately be made by these feedback mechanisms is unknown at present.

Energy Scenarios for Various CO₂ Limits

Using the $CO₂$ atmospheric concentration data recorded to date, the correlation of these data with fossil fuel consumption and the proposed "greenhouse effect" models, this study reviews various world energy consumption scenarios to limit $CO₂$ atmospheric buildup. The concentration of $CO₂$ in the atmosphere is controlled in these studies by regulating the quantity of each type of fossil fuel used and by using non-fossil energy sources when required. The quantity of $CO₂$ emitted by various fuels is shown in Table 1. These factors were calculated based on the combustion energy/carbon content ratio of the fuel and the thermal efficiency of the overall conversion process where applicable. They show the high $CO₂/energy$ ratio for coal and shale and the very high ratios for synthetic fuefs from these base fossil fuels which are proposed as fuels of the future.

The total world energy demand used in these scenarios is based upon the predictions in the Exxon Fall 1977 World Energy Outlook for the high oil price case for the years 1976 to 1990. It is assumed that no changes in the sources of supply of energy could be made during this period of time. Case A, which has no restrictions on CO₂ emissions, follows the high oi1 price predictiona until 2000.

Petroleum production and consumption is the same in each scenario. The high oil price case predictions are followed until 2000. After 2000 petroleum production continues to increase until a reserve to production ratio (R/P) equals ten to one. Production peaks at this point and then continues at a ten to one R/P ratio until supplies run out.

The consumption of coal, natural gas and non-fossil fuels (fission/
fusion, geothermal, biomass, hydroelectric and solar power) vary with each scenario. Shale oil makes small contributions past the year 2000. It is not predicted to be a major future energy source due to environmentat damage associated with the mining of shale oil, and also due to rather large amounts of CO₂ emitted per unit energy generated (see Table 1). If more shale oil were used, it would have the same effect on $CO₂$ emissions as the use of more coal. The fossil fuel resources assumed to be recoverable are tabulated in Appendix B.

!tlj- r :#\$' {

'i+'l 't;

 \mathbb{R}^{\times}

A. No Limit on CO₂ Emissions

In this scenario no limitations are placed upon future fossil fuel use. The use of coal is emphasized for the rest of this century and continues on into the next century. The development and use of non-fossil fuels continue to grow but without added emphasis. Natural gas production continues at a slowly increasing rate until an R/P ratio of 7/1 is reached around 2030. Production after 2030 continues at a 7/1 ratio until reserves run out. Figure 6 shows the future energy demand for this scenario.

Figure 7 shows that the CO₂ buildup from this energy strategy is quite rapid. The yearly atmospheric CO2 increase rises from 1.3 ppm in 1976 to 4.5 ppm in 2040. Noticeable temperature changes would occur around 2010 as the concentration reaches 400 ppm. Significant climatic changes occur around 2035 when the concentration approaches 500 ppm. A doubling of the pre-industrial concentration occurs around 2050. The doubling would bring about dramatic changes in the world's environment (see Appendix A). Continued use of coal as a major energy source past the year 2050 would further increase the atmospheric CO₂ level resulting in increased global temperatures and environmental upsets.

CO₂ Increase Limited to 510 ppm В.

This energy scenario is limited to a 75% increase over the preindustrial concentration of 290 ppm. No limitations are placed on petroleum production. Natural gas production is encouraged beginning in 1990 to minimize coal combustion until non-fossil fuels are developed. Production of natural gas would increase until 2010 when an R/P ratio of $7/1$ would be reached. Production would then continue at a R/P of $7/1$ until supplies ran out. The development and use of nonfossil fuels are emphasized beginning the 1990's. Non-fossil fuels start to be substituted for coal in 1990's. Figure 8 shows the future energy demand by fuel for this scenario.

Figure 9 shows the atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration trends for this scenario. The lower graph shows the maximum yearly atmospheric $CO₂$ increase allowable for the 510 ppm limit. The yearly $CO₂$ increase peaks in 2005 when it amounts to 2.3 ppm and then steadily decreases reaching 0.2 ppm in 2100. A 0.2 ppm increment is equivalent to the direct combustion of 5.1 billion B.O.E. of coal. This would be approximately 2 to 3% of the total world energy demanded in 2100. (For more detail on the construction of Figure 9, see Appendix C.)

A comparison of the Exxon year 2000 predictions and this scenario's year 2000 requirements shows the magnitude of possible future energy source changes. The Exxon predictions call for nonfossil fuels to account for 18 billion B.O.E. in 2000. This scenario requires that 20 billion B.O.E. be supplied by non-fossil fuels by

$c1798$

2000. This difference of 2 billion B.O.E. is equivalent to the power supplied by 214-1000 MW nuclear power plants operating at 607. of capacity. If it were supplied by methane produced from biomass, it would be equivalent to 80,000 square miles of biomass at a yield of 50 ton/acre, heat value of 6500 Btu/dry pound and a 35% conversion efficiency to methane. Therefore even a 20% increase in non-fossil fuel use is a gigantic undertaking.

i. 1p :+ + ?

The magnitude of the change to non-fossil fuels as major energy sources is more apparent when scenarios A and B are compared in the year 2025. Scenario B requires an 85 billion B.O.E. input from non-fossil fuels in ?025. This ie almost double the 45 billion B.O.E. input predicted in scenario A. This 35 billion B.O.E. difference is approximately equal to the total energy consumption for the entire world in 1970-

The environmental changes associated with this scenario wouldn't be as severe as if the $CO₂$ concentration were allowed to double as in scenario A. Noticeable temperature changes would occur around 2010 when the $CO₂$ concentration reaches 400 ppm. Significant climate changes would occur as the atmospheric concentration nears 500 ppn around 2080. Even though changes in the environment due to increased atmospheric CO concentrations are uncertain, an increase to 500 ppm would probably bring about undesirable clinatic changes to many parts of the earth although other areas may be benefitted by the changes. (See Appendix A, part 1).

C. CO₂ Increase Limited to 440 ppm

This scenario limits future atmospheric $CO₂$ increases to a 50% increase over the pre-industrial concentration of 290 ppm. As in the previous case, no limitations are placed on petroleum production and increased natural gas production is encouraged. Much emphasis is placed on the development and use of non-fossil fuels. Non-fossil fuels are substituted for coal beginning in the 1990's. By 2010 they will have to account for 50% of the energy supplied worldwide. Thie would be an extremely difficult and costly effort if possible. In this scenario coal or shale will never become a major energy source. Figure I0 shows the future world energy demand by fuel for Ehis scenario.

The atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration trends for this scenario are shown in Figure 11. To satisfy the limits of this scenario the yearly $CO₂$ emissions would have to peak in 1995 at 2.0 ppm, b1798

and Ehen rapidly decrease reaching a value of 0.04 ppm in 2100. A 0.04 ppm naximum allowable increase means that unless removal/disposal methods for $CO₂$ emissions are available only one billion B.O.E. of coal may be directly combusted in 2100 (or 1.4 billion Barrels of Oil). This would be less than 1% of the total energy demanded by the world in 2100

To adhere to the 440 ppm limit, non-fossil fuels will have to account for 28 billion B.O.E. in 2000 as compared to 20 billion B.O.E. in scenario B and 18 billion B.O.E. in scenario A. This difference between scenarios A and C of l0 billion B.O.E. is equivalent to over 1000, 1000 MW nuclear power plants operating at 60% of capacity. Ten billion B.O.E. is also approximately equivalent to 400,000 square miles of biomass at 35% conversion efficiency to methane. This is equivalent to almost one-half the total U.S. forest land.

By 2O25 the 110 billion B.o.E. input from non-fossil fuels called for in this scenario is more than twice as much as the 45 billion B.O.E. input predicted in scenario A. This difference of 65 billion is approxinately equal to the amount of energy the entire world will consume in 1980. In tetms of power plants, 65 billion B.O.E. is equivalent to almost 7000, 1000 MW nuclear power plants operating at 60% of capacity.

An atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration of 440 ppm is assumed to be a relatively safe level for the environment. A slight global warming trend should be noticeable but not so extreme a8 to cauee major changes. Slight changes in precipitation might also be noticeable as the atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration nears 400 ppm.

S. KNISELY

REFERENCES

- Corporate Planning Department, Exxon Corp. (Fall, 1977). World Energy Outlook, 1977-1990.
- Flower, A. R. (1978). "World Oil Production," Scientific American 238 (3), pp. 42-49.
- Griffith, E. D. and Clarke, A. W. (1979). "World Coal Production," Scientific American 240 (1), pp. 38-47.
- McCormick, W. T., R. B. Kalisch, and T. J. Wander (1978). "AGA Study Assesses World Natural Gas Supply," The Oil and Gas Journal. February 13, 1978, pp. 103-106.
- Peterson, E. K. (1969). "Carbon Dioxide Affects Global Ecology," Environmental Science and Technology 3 (11), pp. 1162-1169.
- Rotty, R. M. (1979). Uncertainties Associated with Global Effects of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide, ORAV/IEA-79-6 (0).
- Siegenthaler, U. and Oeschger, H. (1978). "Predicting Future Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Levels," Science 199, pp. 388-395.
- Shaw, Henry (1978). Attached Appendix (B) of Letter to Dr. E. E. David, Jr. on December 7, 1978.
- Steinberg, M., A. S. Albanese and Vi-duong Dang (1978). "Environmental Control Technology for Carbon Dioxide," presented at 71st Annual AICHE Meeting, November 12-16, 1978, Miami, Florida.
- Stuiver, M. (1978). "Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide and Carbon Reservoir Changes," Science 199, pp. 263-258.
- Terra, Stan (1978). "CO₂ and Spaceship Earth," EPRI Journal July/August, 1978, pp. 22-27.
- Williams, J. (1978). "Global Energy Strategies, the Implications of CO₂," Futures, August, 1978, pp. 293-302.

b1798

Table 1

CO₂ EMISSIONS

* Includes conversion losses where applicable.

APPENDIX A

ECOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF INCREASED CO₂ LEVELS

From:

Peterson, E.K., "Carbon Dioxide Affects Global Ecology," Environmental Science and Technology 3 (11), 1162-1169 (Nov '69).

- Environmental effects of increasing the $C0₂$ levels to 500 ppm. (1.7) 1. times 1860 level)
	- A global temperature increase of $3^{\circ}F$ which is the equivalent of a 10-40 southerly shift in latitude. A 40 shift is equal to the north to south height of the state of Oregon.
	- The southwest states would be hotter, probably by more than 3° F, and drier.
	- The flow of the Colorado River would diminish and the southwest water shortage would become much more acute.
	- Most of the glaciers in the North Cascades and Glacier National Park would be melted. There would be less of a winter snow pack in the Cascades, Sierras, and Rockies, necessitating a major increase in storage reservoirs.
	- Marine life would be markedly changed. Maintaining runs of salmon and steelhead and other subarctic species in the Columbia River system would become increasingly difficult.
	- The rate of plant growth in the Pacific Northwest would increase 10% due to the added CO_2 , and another 10% due to increased temperatures.
- 2. Effects of a doubling of the 1860 CO₂ concentration. (580 ppm)
	- Global temperatures would be 9ºF above 1950 levels.
	- . Most areas would get more rainfall, and snow would be rare in the contiguous states, except on higher mountains.
	- O Ocean levels would rise four feet.
	- The melting of the polar ice caps could cause tremendous redistribution of weight and pressure exerted on the earth's crust. This could trigger major increases in earthquakes and volcanic activity resulting in even more atmospheric CO₂ and violent storms.
	- The Arctic Ocean would be ice free for at least six months each year, causing major shifts in weather patterns in the northern hemisphere.

c1798

The present tropics would be hotter, more humid, and less habit-
able, but the present temperature latitude would be warmer and \bullet more habitable.

APPENDIX B

FOSSIL FUEL RESOURCES

b1798

APPENDIX C

CONSTRUCTION OF SCENARIOS B AND C (Scenario A requires no $CO₂$ emissions control)

l. Scenario B

The CO₂ concentration vs. year curve in Figure 9 was generated by the following equation:

after 1970 $(t = 0)$, then

 $*C = 292 ppm + 219 ppm/[1 + 5.37 exp. (-t/24 years)]$

where $C =$ concentration in ppm

The curve on the lower section of Figure 9, atmospheric $CO₂$ increase vs. years, is generated by finding the difference in the concentrations of successive years. This curve gives the maximum yearly increases allowable to stay within the limits placed on this scenario. The amount of fossil fuel that may be consumed in any given year can then be calculated by the lower curve. For example:

In 2100 the maximum allowable $CO₂$ increase equals 0.2 ppm.

This is equivalent to:

 $\frac{2 \text{ ppm}}{1 \text{ ppm}}$ x $\frac{2.1 \times 10^9 \text{ ton C}}{1 \text{ ppm}}$ x $\frac{2000 \text{ lb}}{\text{ton}}$ x $\frac{44 \text{ lb CO}_2}{12 \text{ lb C}}$ = 3.1 x 10¹² lb CO₂

 3.1×10^{12} lb CO₂ may be released by the combustion of:

for coal: $\frac{3.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ lb } CO_2}{3.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ lb } CO_2} \times \frac{1000 \text{ Btu}}{0.21 \text{ lb } CO_2} \times \frac{1 \text{ B}.0 \text{ E}}{5.8 \times 10^6 \text{ Btu}}$

= 2.5 billion B.O.E. of coal

This scenario is based on the assumption that 50% of $CO₂$ released each year will always be absorbed by the ocean and the rest will renain in the atmosphere.

*Derived from an equation presented by U. Siegenthaler and H. Oeschger (1978) (see references).

2. Scenario C

The equation for the generation of Figure 11 is derived to be,

after 1970 $(t = 0)$, then

*C = 292 ppm + 146 ppm/ $[1 + 3.37 \text{ exp.} (-t/20 \text{ years})]$

This scenario is the same as Scenario B only with different limits.

TEMPERATURE EFFECT OF DOUBLING CO2

 \bullet . $\qquad \bullet$

