Cases Underway to Make Climate Polluters Pay

CLIMATE FRAUD (Consumer Protection)			
Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
State of Vermont Vermont v. Exxon et al. Filed: September 14, 2021	The Vermont Attorney General's Office filed a consumer protection lawsuit against Exxon, Shell, and other major oil and gas companies for "numerous deceptive acts and unfair practices in connection with their marketing, distribution, and sale of gasoline and other fossil fuel products to consumers within the State."	Consumer protection	Compl remov reman in state
City of New York New York City v. Exxon et al. Filed: April 22, 2021	New York City filed a consumer protection lawsuit against ExxonMobil, Shell, BP and the American Petroleum Institute for engaging in deceptive trade practices "about the central role their products play in causing the climate crisis," in violation of the city's consumer protection law.	Consumer protection	Compl remove awaitir remane
State of Connecticut Connecticut v. Exxon Filed: Sept. 14, 2020	Connecticut Attorney General William Tong is suing ExxonMobil under the state's consumer protection law for the company's "ongoing, systematic campaign of lies and deception to hide from the public what ExxonMobil has known for decades — that burning fossil fuels undeniably contributes to climate change."	Consumer protection	Compl remove remane affirme motior
District of Columbia DC v. Exxon et al. Filed: June 25, 2020	D.C. Attorney General Karl A. Racine filed a consumer protection lawsuit against ExxonMobil, BP, Chevron, and Shell for misleading consumers about the role their fossil fuel products play in causing climate change.	Consumer protection	Compl remove remane affirme
State of Minnesota Minnesota v. Exxon, Koch Industries and API Filed: June 24, 2020	Minnesota Attorney General Keith Ellison filed a consumer fraud lawsuit against ExxonMobil, Koch Industries, and the American Petroleum Institute for conducting a "campaign of deception" to mislead consumers about the science of climate change and failing to disclose their knowledge that fossil fuel products cause global warming.	 Consumer protection Fraud Misrepresentation Failure to warn 	Compl remove remane affirme is proc



cedural Status

plaint filed in Vermont state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Vt.), which anded the case to state court. Case is proceeding ate court.

plaint filed in New York state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (S.D.N.Y.). Parties ting federal district court decision on motion to and the case to state court.

plaint filed in Connecticut state court. Defendant oved the case to federal court (D.Conn.), which inded the case to state court. Second Circuit ned remand. In state court, Defendant filed on to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction.

plaint filed in D.C. Superior Court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.D.C.), which anded the case to state court. D.C. Circuit med remand. Case is proceeding in state court.

plaint filed in Minnesota state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Minn), which inded the case to state court. Eighth Circuit ned remand. Supreme Court denied cert. Case oceeding in state court.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts	The Massachusetts Attorney General's Office filed a consumer and investor fraud lawsuit against	Consumer protection	Compla Defenda
Massachusetts v. Exxon	ExxonMobil in state court, charging the fossil fuel company with systematically and intentionally		which re denied
Filed: Oct. 24, 2019	misleading consumers and investors about its role in causing climate change.		SLAPP affirmed trial disc

CLIMATE DAMAGES (Cost Recovery)

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
Maui County, Hawai'i Maui v. Sunoco et al. Filed: Oct. 12, 2020	The county's lawsuit against Exxon, BP, Chevron, Shell, and more than a dozen other fossil fuel companies outlines an array of harms its four islands suffer as a result of Big Oil's deception: 2019 was the county's warmest year on record. The county's fire season, which used to last only a few months but now runs year-round, burned six times as many acres in 2019 than 2018. And more than \$3.2 billion in assets, including the county's five harbors and five airports, are threatened by chronic flooding and sea-level rise.	 Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla remove affirme court, p to dism pre-tria
Honolulu (City and County), Hawai'i Honolulu v. Sunoco et al. Filed: March 9, 2020	The City and County of Honolulu is suing major oil and gas companies to hold them accountable for the cost of climate damages they knew their businesses would create and intensify.	 Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla remove affirme court, t court's failure Parties Court c
State of Rhode Island Rhode Island v. Chevron et al. Filed: July 2, 2018	With 400 miles of vulnerable shoreline, Rhode Island became the first state to file suit against a fleet of fossil fuel companies — including ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, and BP — to recover costs from climate damages, ranging from severe storms and drought to considerable sea level rise and coastal flooding.	 Public nuisance Trespass Design defect Failure to warn Impairment of Public Trust Resources State Environmental Rights Act 	Compla remove remand defend jurisdic

plaint filed in Massachusetts state court. ndant removed the case to federal court (D.Mass.), h remanded the case to state court. State court ed defendant's motions to dismiss, including anti-PP motion. Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ned denial of anti-SLAPP motion. Case is in prediscovery in state court.

cedural Status

plaint filed in Hawai'i state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Haw.), which anded the case to state court. Ninth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state t, parties awaiting decision on defendants' motion smiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Case is in trial discovery on the jurisdictional issue.

plaint filed in Hawai'i state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Haw.), which anded the case to state court. Ninth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state t, the Hawai'i Supreme Court affirmed the trial t's denial of defendants' motions to dismiss for re to state a claim and lack of personal jurisdiction. es awaiting decision from the Hawai'i Intermediate t of Appeals on Chevron's anti-SLAPP motion.

plaint filed in Rhode Island state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.R.I.), which anded the case to state court. First Circuit affirmed and. Supreme Court denied cert. In state court, ndants filed motion to dismiss for lack of personal diction. Case is in pre-trial discovery on the dictional issue.

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
Oakland and San Francisco (City and County), California Oakland et al. v. BP et al. Filed: Sept. 19, 2017	The Bay Area municipalities are seeking to hold ExxonMobil, Chevron, Shell, BP, and ConocoPhillips accountable for a wide range of costs to protect their communities from rising seas, floods, and other climate damages.	• Public nuisance	Compl remove denied Ninth C district to rema procee
San Mateo, Santa Cruz, and Marin counties; Cities of Richmond, Imperial Beach, and Santa Cruz, California San Mateo et al. v. Chevron et al. Filed: July 17, 2017	These California lawsuits jump-started climate cost recovery suits in the U.S. Calling out 36 of the world's largest oil companies, the complaints point to impacts such as more frequent flooding, beach erosion and the possibility of water inundating roads, sewage treatment plants and other real estate — including the international airport.	 Public nuisance Private nuisance Negligence Trespass Design defect Failure to warn 	Compla remove remand affirme are pro cases f
Multnomah County, Oregon County of Multnomah v. Exxon et al. Filed: June 22, 2023	Multnomah County's lawsuit against 17 fossil fuel entities is the first to seek damages for the deadly 2021 Pacific Northwest heat dome, which scientists say was "virtually impossible without human-caused climate change."	 Public nuisance Negligence Fraud and Deceit Trespass 	Compl remove awaitir remane
Makah Indian Tribe Makah Indian Tribe v. Exxon et al Filed: Dec. 20, 2023	The Makah Indian Tribe, on the Olympic Peninsula in Washington State, seeks to hold major fossil fuel companies "accountable for hiding the truth about climate change and the effects of burning fossil fuels." Climate change, particularly rising seas and flood, has created "existential threats" to their people, land, and infrastructure, forcing the tribe to relocate to higher ground.	 Public nuisance Failure to warn 	Complaremove

CENTER FOR CLIMATE INTEGRITY

cedural Status

plaints filed in California state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (N.D.Cal), which ed plaintiffs' motion to remand to state court. Per n Circuit decision reversing lower court's decision, ict court granted plaintiffs' renewed motion mand. Ninth Circuit affirmed remand. Case is eeding in state court.

plaints filed in California state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (N.D.Cal), which anded the case to state court. Ninth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. Cases proceeding in state court in coordination with other as filed in California.

plaint filed in Oregon state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D. Or.). Parties ting federal district court decision on motion to and (oral argument heard 2/13/24).

plaint filed in Washington state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (W.D. Wash.).

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proced
Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe	The Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe, on the Olympic Peninsula in Washington State, seeks to hold major	 Public nuisance Failure to warn 	Compla remove
Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe v. Exxon et al	fossil fuel companies accountable for the hundreds of millions of dollars in costs to relocate their community to higher ground and protect their people, property,		
Filed: Dec. 20, 2023	and heritage from the "existential threats" of climate change, including rising seas and floods.		

CLIMATE FRAUD AND DAMAGES

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
City of Chicago City of Chicago v. BP et al. Filed: February 20, 2024	The City of Chicago is suing ExxonMobil, Chevron, Shell, BP, ConocoPhillips, and the American Petroleum Institute to hold them accountable for their climate deception campaigns and the resulting and continuing damage to the City, including harms to Lake Michigan.	 Failure to Warn Negligence Public Nuisance Private Nuisance Civil Conspiracy Unjust Enrichment Consumer Fraud Consumer Protection 	Compla
State of California The People of the State of California v. ExxonMobil et al. Filed: Sept. 15, 2023	California Attorney General Rob Bonta filed a damages and fraud lawsuit against Exxon, Chevron, BP, Shell, ConocoPhillips, and the American Petroleum Institute for "the lies they have told and the damage they have caused" by accelerating climate change, slowing the development and investment in mitigation and adaptation measures, and misleading consumers.	 Public nuisance Pollution, Impairment, and Destruction of Natural Resources Misleading Advertising and Environmental Marketing Unfair Competition Failure to Warn 	Compla is proce cases fi
State of New Jersey Platkin v. ExxonMobil et al. Filed: Oct. 18, 2022	New Jersey Attorney General Matthew Platkin filed a lawsuit against ExxonMobil, Chevron, Shell, BP, ConocoPhillips, and the American Petroleum Institute for the damage that their climate deception is causing to communities across the state.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn Negligence Impairment of the Public Trust 	Compla remove remanc motions persona statutes



cedural Status

plaint filed in Washington state court. Defendants wed the case to federal court (W.D. Wash.).

cedural Status

plaint filed in Illinois state court.

plaint filed in California state court on 9/15/23. Case oceeding in state court in coordination with other s filed in California.

plaint filed in New Jersey state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.N.J.), which anded the case to state court. Defendants filed ons to dismiss for failure to state a claim, lack of onal jurisdiction, and violations of anti-SLAPP ites.

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
Anne Arundel County, Maryland Anne Arundel County v. BP et al. Filed: April 26, 2021	Anne Arundel County is seeking to hold major oil companies and the American Petroleum Institute accountable for their climate deception — and to make them pay a fair share of the climate damages they knowingly caused.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla remove remand district While p argume court w failure t violatio
Annapolis, Maryland Annapolis v. BP et al. Filed: Feb. 22, 2021	The City of Annapolis is seeking to hold major oil companies and the American Petroleum Institute accountable for their climate deception — and to make them pay a fair share of the climate damages they knowingly caused.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla remove remand district While p argume court w failure t violatio
State of Delaware Delaware v. BP et al. Filed: Sept. 10, 2020	Delaware Attorney General Kathy Jennings filed a lawsuit against 31 fossil fuel companies "to hold them accountable for decades of deception about the role their products play in causing climate change, the harm that is causing in Delaware, and for the mounting costs of surviving those harms."	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla remove remand affirme court, I part an
Charleston, South Carolina Charleston v. Brabham et al. Filed: Sept. 9, 2020	The City of Charleston is suing 24 fossil fuel companies to hold them accountable for lying about climate change harms they knowingly caused — and to make them pay a fair share of the damage. Charleston's was the first such lawsuit filed in the American South.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Failure to warn 	Compla Defenc which r appeal Circuit. dismiss jurisdic
Baltimore, Maryland Baltimore v. BP et al. Filed: July 20, 2018	The City of Baltimore is suing 26 oil and gas companies whose products — and the decades-long campaigns of deception regarding their repercussions — have left the city unduly exposed to an onslaught of climate-caused threats.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Negligence Trespass Design defect Failure to warn 	Compla remove remand affirme court, I state a

CENTER FOR CLIMATE INTEGRITY

cedural Status

plaint filed in Maryland state court. Defendants wed the case to federal court (D.Md.), which nded the case to state court. Defendants appealed ct court's remand decision to the Fourth Circuit. e parties await the Fourth Circuit's decision (oral ment heard 12/6/23), the case is proceeding in state where parties are litigating motions to dismiss for e to state a claim, lack of personal jurisdiction, and tions of anti-SLAPP.

plaint filed in Maryland state court. Defendants wed the case to federal court (D.Md.), which nded the case to state court. Defendants appealed ct court's remand decision to the Fourth Circuit. e parties await the Fourth Circuit's decision (oral ment heard 12/6/23), the case is proceeding in state where parties are litigating motions to dismiss for e to state a claim, lack of personal jurisdiction, and tions of anti-SLAPP.

plaint filed in Delaware state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Del), which anded the case to state court. Third Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state t, Defendants' motions to dismiss were denied in and granted in part.

plaint filed in South Carolina state court. ndants removed the case to federal court (D.S.C.), h remanded the case to state court. Defendants aled district court's remand decision to the Fourth hit. In state court, defendants filed motions to iss for failure to state a claim, lack of personal diction, and violations of anti-SLAPP.

plaint filed in Maryland state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.Md.), which anded the case to state court. Fourth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state t, Defendants filed motions to dismiss for failure to a claim and lack of personal jurisdiction.

Boulder (City and County), Colorado Boulder County et al. v. Suncor and Exxon Filed: April 17, 2018	In the first climate liability case filed by landlocked communities, three Colorado municipalities sued ExxonMobil and Suncor Energy to recover the cost of local climate damages the companies knowingly caused.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Conspiracy Unjust Enrichment 	Defen which affirm court, to disr
San Miguel (County), Colorado Boulder County et al. v. Suncor and Exxon Filed: April 17, 2018	In the first climate liability case filed by landlocked communities, three Colorado municipalities sued ExxonMobil and Suncor Energy to recover the cost of local climate damages the companies knowingly caused.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Trespass Conspiracy Unjust Enrichment 	Defen which affirm court, to disr
RACKETEERING			
Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
Hoboken, New Jersey Hoboken v. Exxon et al. Filed: Sept. 2, 2020	Hoboken, the coastal "Mile Square City," is the first municipality to file a climate liability lawsuit in New Jersey. The city's lawsuit argues that ExxonMobil, Shell, BP, Chevron, ConocoPhillips and the American Petroleum Institute's climate deception violates the state's consumer fraud statute and provides grounds for common law claims of public and private nuisance, trespass and negligence.	 Consumer protection Public nuisance Private nuisance Negligence Trespass Plaintiffs amended complaint to add a New Jersey state RICO claim. 	Comp remov reman affirm court, on bas broug broug motion person statute outcon New J
Municipalities of Puerto Rico Municipalities of Puerto Rico v. Exxon et al. Filed: Nov. 22, 2022	37 Puerto Rico municipalities filed the first-ever federal class-action lawsuit that charges major fossil fuel companies with violating the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act and seeks damages for the 2017 hurricane season that devastated the U.S. territory.	 Racketeering Fraud Antitrust Product liability Nuisance 	Comp motioi failure



endants removed the case to federal court (D.Colo.), ch remanded the case to state court. Tenth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state rt, parties awaiting decision on defendants' motions ismiss (oral argument heard 2/1/24).

endants removed the case to federal court (D.Colo.), ch remanded the case to state court. Tenth Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state rt, parties awaiting decision on defendants' motions ismiss.

cedural Status

nplaint filed in New Jersey state court. Defendants oved the case to federal court (D.N.J.), which anded the case to state court. Third Circuit med remand. Supreme Court denied cert. In state rt, trial court denied defendants' motion to dismiss pasis that the case was duplicative of the case ught by the State of New Jersey. Defendants filed ions to dismiss for failure to state a claim, lack of sonal jurisdiction, and violations of anti-SLAPP utes, which have been withdrawn pending the come of motion to consolidate with the state of y Jersey's case.

nplaint filed in federal court (D.P.R). Defendants filed ions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and ire to state a claim.

STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT

Case	Summary	Legal Claims Asserted	Proce
San Juan, Puerto Rico Municipality of San Juan Puerto Rico v. Exxon et al. Filed: Dec. 14, 2022	San Juan, the largest municipality in Puerto Rico, charges major fossil fuel companies with violating the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) and seeks damages for the 2017 hurricane season that devastated the U.S. territory.	 Racketeering Fraud Antitrust Product liability Nuisance 	Compl
Conservation Law Foundation v. Exxon et al. <i>Filed: Sept. 29, 2016</i>	Non-profit organization Conservation Law Foundation filed citizen enforcement suit against Exxon, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act and RCRA for its failure to take climate impacts into account in the design and operation of the Everett Terminal.	 Clean Water Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 	Compl active
Conservation Law Foundation v. Gulf Oil Filed: July 7, 2021	Non-profit organization Conservation Law Foundation filed citizen enforcement suit against Gulf, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act and RCRA for its failure to take climate impacts into account in the design and operation of the New Haven Terminal.	 Clean Water Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 	Compl pre-tria
Conservation Law Foundation v. Shell et al. Filed: Aug. 28, 2017	Non-profit organization Conservation Law Foundation filed citizen enforcement suit against Shell, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act and RCRA for its failure to take climate impacts into account in the design and operation of the Providence Terminal.	 Clean Water Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 	Compl trial dis
Conservation Law Foundation v. Shell et al. <i>Filed: July 7, 2021</i>	Non-profit organization Conservation Law Foundation filed citizen enforcement suit against Shell, alleging violations of the Clean Water Act and RCRA for its failure to take climate impacts into account in the design and operation of the New Haven Terminal.	 Clean Water Act Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 	Compl in pre-1
McEvoy v. Diversified Energy et al. Filed: July 8, 2022	Private landowners in West Virginia filed a class action lawsuit against Diversified Energy and EQT, arguing that defendants' failure to promptly plug and decommission gas wells on private land constitutes trespass and nuisance. Plaintiffs further argue that the transfer of 12,000 wells constitutes fraudulent conveyance.	 Trespass Fraudulent Transfer 	Compl Defend in pre-1



cedural Status

plaint filed in federal court (D.P.R.).

plaint filed in federal court (D. Mass.). Parties are in /e settlement negotiations.

plaint filed in federal court (D. Conn). Parties are in trial discovery to be concluded by 7/1/24.

plaint filed in federal court (D.R.I.). Case is in prediscovery.

plaint filed in federal court (D. Conn.). Case is e-trial discovery.

plaint filed in federal court (N.D. W. Va.). endants' motion to dismiss was denied, and case is e-trial discovery.